



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/812,809	03/30/2004	Jeffrey Hutterer		6034
45/096 7590 05/05/2009 STEVEN HOROWITZ, ESQ. 295 MADISON AVE SUITE 700 NEW YORK, NY 10017				
EXAMINER CARTER, KINDRA D				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
1617				
MAIL DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
05/05/2009		PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/812,809

Applicant(s)

HUTTERER, JEFFREY

Examiner

KENDRA D. CARTER

Art Unit

1617

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) KENDRA D. CARTER.

(3) _____.

(2) Steven Horowitz.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-6, 12-20, 26-35, 41 and 42.

Identification of prior art discussed: Roberts et al. and Platt.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Examiner clarified the response to the Applicant's arguments on pages 10 and 11. Particularly, the vasoactive agent of Roberts is the antihistamine, and the differences in the administration techniques of Roberts and Platt that does not read into the negative results of Platt. Further, the differences between vasoconstrictor and vasodilator were discussed in regards to its use in the Roberts reference.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Kendra D Carter/
Examiner, Art Unit 1617